

1. Who is the incumbent?
Hewlett Packard currently provides maintenance and operations support for APASI.
2. Are you inclined to use the existing APASI application or do you prefer a new application?
Since we are in the phase for requesting information, it is too early in the process to decide on a preference. We are open to suggestion.
3. Does OAG have a preferred Systems Development Life Cycle or System Life Cycle Model?
Currently, we do not have a preferred model.
4. Does OAG have the type of systems, applications, and/or versions to integrate with those systems?
In reference to section 2.3.2 of the RFI, we are not looking to integrate, but to interface. No, the current system does not interface with the indicated data sources.
5. Is this because of systems being outdated or not supported by legacy systems?
Data interfaces are available from the sources mentioned; APASI does not currently have these interfaces designed and developed.
6. Is the acronym Full Time Equivalent (FTE) for an OAG full-time staff member or contractor?
FTE can be classified as a full-time staff member(s) dedicated to the project and it can also be a contractor. However, this will be treated as two separate entities. In other words, the number of full-time staff members and contractors should be segregated.